



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,810	08/09/2006	Jurgen Deininger	13156-00069-US	2775
23416	7590	08/28/2008	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
P O BOX 2207				
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,810	DEININGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention (necessitated by amendment).

In claim 11 the newly added limitation "wherein the fraction of the total amount of said aqueous medium fed at the reactor inlet is in the range of from 35 % to 95 % by weight" is indefinite, since the meaning of above range is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mohrschladt (US 6359020) herein Mohrschladt or Bassler et al (WO 0208313, cited with equivalent US 6815527) herein Bassler in combination with Donck (US 2003/02066835) herein Donck (necessitated by Amendment).

Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose a process according to claim 11 that comprises the following stages:

(1) reacting aminonitriles or dinitriles and diamines or mixtures thereof, and optionally together with further polyamide-forming monomers and/or oligomer with the aqueous medium in the reactor at a temperature from 90 to 400°C and a pressure from 0.1 to 35x106 Pa to obtain a reaction mixture,

(2) further reacting the reaction mixture at a temperature from 150 to 400°C and a pressure which is lower than the stage 1 pressure, wherein the temperature and the pressure are chosen such that a first gas phase and a first liquid phase are obtained and the first gas phase is separated from the first liquid phase,

(3) admixing the first liquid phase with a gaseous or liquid phase comprising water or an aqueous medium at a temperature from 90 to 350°C and a pressure from 0.1 to 30 x 106 Pa to obtain a product mixture.

(4) postcondensing the product mixture at a temperature from 200 to 350°C and a pressure which is lower than the stage 3 pressure, if stage 3 is carried out, wherein the temperature and the pressure are chosen such that a second gaseous phase, which comprises water and ammonia, and a second liquid phase, which comprises the polyamide, are obtained (see Mohrschladt, Column 2, line 25 and Bassler, Column 2, line 15).

Regarding Claim 17, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose Titanium Oxide catalyst (see Bassler, Column 6, line 30, Mohrschladt, Column 5, line 45).

In reference to Claim 18, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose a reactor having a vertically disposed longitudinal axis wherein, in the reactor, the reaction product is removed from the bottom and ammonia formed and any further low molecular weight compounds formed and water are taken off overhead, wherein the reactor (see Mohrschladt, Column 5, line 10).

Regarding Claim 20, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose aqueous media with solids content of 20-90 and the solids are lactams and cyclic oligomeric lactams having two to six ring members that are derived from the aminonitrile used (see Mohrschladt, Column 2, line 20).

Mohrschladt or Bassler do not disclose the aqueous medium are introduced into the reactor at two or more different locations along the vertical longitudinal axis, where the fraction of aqueous media fed at the reaction inlet is in the range of 35-95% wt.

However, According to MPEP 2144.04, at mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced., see also *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

In Specification Applicant listed the following benefits of multiple monomer feeding:

1. the reaction gives a more hydrolyzed prepolymer which consequently has a higher carboxyl end group content.
2. the temperature profile in the reactor can be smoothed or uniformized (see page 3, line 15 of the Specification).

However, no specific data related to the above benefit is presented. In addition, since the location of feeding port (especially minimal distance between the ports along vertical axes) along with temperature profile of the reactor are not presented. In other words, when the distance between two feeding ports along with vertical axes is very low (for instance 10-20 cm), a positive effect from multiple monomer feeding could not be expected.

In addition, multiple monomer feeding in a tubular reactors is well known.

Donck teaches tubular polymerization reactors and processes, where multiple monomer feeds spaced lengthwise is applied (see Abstract).

Donck teaches that the design above provides high conversion of monomer into polymer and quality of the resulting polymer (see Abstract).

Donck discloses wide variety of monomers, which can be used in the process, including acrylamides, N-vinyl N-methylacetamides, etc. (see line 0043).

Note that Donck does not teach polycondensation process. However, he solves the same problem as one of the application, i.e. "2. the temperature profile in the reactor can be smoothed or uniformized". Donck teaches that the reaction in his method is

highly exothermic (see line 0004). Therefore, split addition of monomers prevents local overheating, which leads to smoother and more uniform temperature profile. Thus, since Donck's reactor design solves the same problem as one of the Application, rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is applicable, even though prior art and application represent different fields of endeavor.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to apply Donck's multiple monomer feed to Mohrschladt or Bassler's process in order to increase monomer conversion and quality of the resulting polymer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The new ground of rejection is applied in view of amended claim 11. The rejection, based on U.S. Patent No. 6,201,096 to Marchildon et al is withdrawn. The above reference is not applicable, since amended claim 11 claims aqueous media with 2-30% of solids instead of previously claimed water/aqueous media. Since US 6201096 teaches multiple feed of steam, it is not applicable in rejection of claim 11 as amended.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rabon Sergent/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

GL